Please check the examination de Candidate surname	tails bel	ow before ente	other names	date information
Pearson Edexcel Level 3 GCE	Cer	itre Number		Candidate Number
<b>Time</b> 1 hour 30 minutes		Paper reference	91	110/2C
History				
Advanced PAPER 2: Depth stud Option 2C.1: France in Option 2C.2: Russia in	rev	_		

#### **Instructions**

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- Fill in the boxes at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- You must answer two questions on the option for which you have been prepared.
- There are two sections in this question paper. Answer one question from Section A and one question from Section B.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
  - there may be more space than you need.

# Information

- The total mark for this paper is 40.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets
  - use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.

#### **Advice**

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.
- Good luck with your examination.

Turn over ▶



P66274A
©2021 Pearson Education Ltd.
1/1/1



#### **SECTION A**

#### Choose EITHER Question 1 OR Question 2 for which you have been prepared.

You must start your answer on page 3.

## Option 2C.1: France in revolution, 1774–99

Study Sources 1 and 2 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

1 How far could the historian make use of Sources 1 and 2 together to investigate the reasons for King Louis XVI's flight to Varennes in June 1791?

Explain your answer, using both sources, the information given about them and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(Total for Question 1 = 20 marks)

#### Option 2C.2: Russia in revolution, 1894–1924

Study Sources 3 and 4 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

- 2 How far could the historian make use of Sources 3 and 4 together to investigate Lenin's influence over the Central Committee in October 1917?
  - Explain your answer, using both sources, the information given about them and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(Total for Question 2 = 20 marks)

		_		_
Chosen question numbe	r: Question 1	×	Question 2	







DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA



•••••



٦	TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 20 MARKS



**BLANK PAGE** 



#### **SECTION B**

Answer ONE question in Section B on the option for which you have been prepared.

You must start your answer to your chosen question on the next page.

## Option 2C.1: France in revolution, 1774–99

#### **EITHER**

**3** How accurate is it to say that the lack of effective financial reform was primarily responsible for undermining the *ancien régime* in France?

(Total for Question 3 = 20 marks)

#### OR

4 'The nature of the Terror in France changed markedly in the years 1793–94.'

How far do you agree with this statement?

(Total for Question 4 = 20 marks)

# Option 2C.2: Russia in revolution, 1894–1924

#### **EITHER**

How accurate is it to say that opposition to Tsarism had little impact in the years 1894–1914?

(Total for Question 5 = 20 marks)

#### OR

**6** 'The similarities between War Communism and the New Economic Policy far outweigh the differences.'

How far do you agree with this statement?

(Total for Question 6 = 20 marks)

osen question number:	Question 3	×	Question 4	
	Question 5	×	Question 6	













II 0000000
■ ××××××
XXXXXX
DO
XX
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
XXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXX
OXXXIVO
XXIIIXX
XXXX XXX
XXXXXXX
II XX39074XX
XXZZXXX
XXX FXX
■ 0000000
<b>■</b> ×××××××
II (XXXXXX)

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 20 MARKS
<b>TOTAL FOR PAPER = 40 MARKS</b>



# **Pearson Edexcel Level 3 GCE**

**Time** 1 hour 30 minutes

Paper reference

9HI0/2C



# **History**

**Advanced** 

**PAPER 2: Depth study** 

Option 2C.1: France in revolution, 1774–99 Option 2C.2: Russia in revolution, 1894–1924

**Sources Booklet** 

Do not return this Booklet with the question paper.

Turn over ▶





#### Sources for use with Section A.

Answer the question in Section A on the option for which you have been prepared.

#### **Option 2C.1: France in revolution, 1774–99**

Sources for use with Question 1.

**Source 1:** From the Marquis de Bouillé, *Memoirs relating to the French Revolution*, published 1797. Bouillé was a French general and a committed royalist who helped to plan the flight to Varennes. Here he reflects on the King's flight to Varennes.

The King often told me he felt disgust at the thought of leaving France to put himself at the head of an army or ordering his troops against his own rebellious people.

I never knew what course of action the King would have adopted at Montmédy\* and what his conduct would have been in relation to the Assembly in such difficult circumstances. Anyone who knew the King's religious character could not doubt that, in taking his oath to uphold the Constitution, his intention was to observe the Constitution scrupulously and implement the laws it contained. But this Constitution was so imperfect, it was impossible to uphold and implement it.

I had, therefore, to suppose that once the King had recovered his liberty, he would have based his conduct on the mood of the people and the army. The King would only have employed force if it proved impossible to come to a suitable arrangement with the Assembly. Indeed, several leading members of the Assembly wanted such an arrangement since France was drifting towards a republic they did not want and the chaos they dreaded.

\*Montmédy – a pro-monarchy fortress town in north-eastern France

**Source 2:** From a speech made by Henri Grégoire to the National Assembly, 15 July 1791. Grégoire was a republican clergyman and a radical Jacobin. Here, he considers the King's flight to Varennes.

Gentlemen, on this matter, I will speak according to my conscience. King Louis XVI, our most important public servant, abandoned his post. He acquired a false passport for himself. After the King had written to foreign powers stating that his most dangerous enemies were those who were trying to spread doubts and suspicions about the intentions of the monarch, he then proceeded to break his word. He fled Paris, leaving behind a written declaration for the French people, which, if not criminal in content, was, at the very least, contrary to the principles of our liberty. He must have been aware that his flight to Varennes exposed the nation to the dangers of civil war.

And finally, if we consider the argument that he wished only to go to Montmédy, I say this: if he wanted merely to make peaceful observations to the National Assembly about its decrees, in that case, it was useless to flee from the capital. If he wanted to support his claims with military force, in that case the King's flight was a conspiracy against liberty.

30

20

25

5

10

5

10

#### Option 2C.2: Russia in revolution, 1894–1924

#### Sources for use with Question 2.

**Source 3:** From Leon Trotsky, *Lessons of October*, published 1924. Trotsky was a member of the Bolshevik Central Committee. Here he reflects on Lenin's dealings with the Central Committee in October 1917.

Lenin's persistent, tireless and relentless pressure on the Central Committee throughout October 1917 arose from his constant fear that the Bolsheviks would allow the revolutionary moment to slip away forever. Lenin's Bolshevik critics argued that this view was nonsense and the party's influence would continue to grow. Lenin's opponents, within the senior ranks of the party, offered only indecision and delay which revealed their lack of determination and an inability to act. This was precisely the approach supported by two senior Central Committee members, Zinoviev and Kamenev. Their letter 'On the Current Situation', published on 11 October (a day after the Central Committee agreed to an armed insurrection), came out strongly against an immediate armed insurrection. Zinoviev and Kamenev sent this letter to the most important Bolshevik party organisations.

Luckily, Lenin's leadership was decisively able to overcome such arguments in the Central Committee. Lenin was right – it was now or never. Owing to this alone, the Bolshevik party was able to carry out a victorious revolution in October 1917. The resolution for an armed insurrection was adopted by the Central Committee on 10 October. The pressure exerted by Lenin secured the necessary shift of opinion within the Central Committee.

**Source 4:** From a confidential letter written by Lenin to the Bolshevik Central Committee, 19 October 1917. Here he considers the recent actions of Zinoviev and Kamenev.

Zinoviev's and Kamenev's statement, 'On the Current Situation', in the non-Party press, is treachery. Zinoviev attempted to defeat the Central Committee's decision for insurrection both at Sunday's meeting, where he and Kamenev secured not a single vote, and in their present letter. Kamenev and Zinoviev, who did not express their reservations in writing before the decision was taken, began to dispute the Central Committee's decision afterwards. The question of insurrection has been discussed in the Central Committee for several weeks and so Zinoviev and Kamenev could and should have raised their objections earlier.

Kamenev and Zinoviev have betrayed to the Provisional Government the decision of the Central Committee on insurrection. They have also betrayed the decision to conceal (from our opponents) preparations for insurrection and the date appointed for it. By their action, these two members of the Central Committee have betrayed the interests of the workers to the capitalists. Kamenev's and Zinoviev's statement in the non-Party press is especially despicable for the additional reason that the Bolshevik party is not in a position to challenge their views openly. To do so, would do even greater damage to our cause and assist our enemies. There can and must be only one answer: an immediate decision by the Central Committee to expel both traitors.

#### **BLANK PAGE**

# Acknowledgements

Source 1 from: 'Marquis de Bouillé, Memoirs relating to the French Revolution, published 1797 quoted in The French Revolution', Leonard W. Cowie, Macmillan

Source 2 from: The French Revolution and Napoleon: A Sourcebook, Philip G. Dwyer and Peter McPhee (eds.), Routledge 2002

Source 3 from: https://www.marxists.org/archive/trotsky/1924/lessons/

Source 4 from: https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1917/oct/19.htm